LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION REFEREE FORM

This form must be returned with your letter				
Referee Name: Referee Title and Affiliation: Name of Candidate: Promotion and/or Tenure Request:				
Within the UAMS College of Medicine's Promotion and Tenure system, 2 types of letters may packet-Required Letters and Support Letters. 1. REQUIRED LETTERS of Recommendation are letters which review the candidate's work the criteria established in our pathway for consideration for promotion. These letters must comhave no conflict of interest or vested interest in the candidate's outcome. 2. SUPPORT LETTERS may come from individuals who personally know the candidate and their expertise in their field.	to determ ne from re comment	nine if viewe specif	they nrs who	neet O on
If the Letter author answers "Yes" to question 1,2, or 3 – their letter cannot count as a require	d letter for	the ca	ındida	ite's
packet, but may serve as a support letter. 1. Has the candidate been a student, trainee, mentee, or employee with whom you had a direct or significant role in their professional development?		Yes		No
2. Is the candidate a family member, close friend, close colleague (i.e. in the same department/division), or former partner?		Yes		No
3. In the opinion of the letter writer, does a conflict of interest exist because of a previous collaboration?		Yes		No
If the Letter Author answer's "Yes" to Question 4 or 5 please provide additional information and your ability to review the candidate without bias.	regarding	the rel	ations	hip
4. Is the candidate a co-investigator on a funded research project now and/or within the past 3 years? (exceptions are given to very large multi-institutional research studies/projects where investigators have a very distant relationship)		Yes		No
5. Has the letter writer co-authored on a publication with the candidate in the last 3 year that represents a conflict of interest in the opinion of the letter writer? (exceptions are given to very large research studies/projects where co-authors have a very distant relationship)		Yes		No
If you answered "Yes" to question 4 or 5. Please provide further details regarding your relation your ability to review this candidate without bias:	onship to c	andid	ate and	d
Additional Comments:				
Knowledge of candidate's work based on: Check all	that apple	7.		
1. Publications and CV	that apply	es es		No
2. Scholarly presentations		es es		No
3. Personal knowledge and discussions		Zes .		No
4. Participated on review panels (study section, advisory board, committee work etc)	□ Y	Zes –		No

Yes

External Reviewer's signature and date

5. Other: